CETIFICATION

SDG No:

MC47884

Humacao, PR

Laboratory:

Accutest, Massachusetts

Site:

BMS, Building 5 Area, PR

Matrix:

Groundwater

SUMMARY:

Groundwater samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – Building 5 Area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken September 15-19, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Marlborough, Massachusetts that reported the data under SDG No.: MC47884. Results were validated using the following quality control criteria of the methods employed (MADEP VPH and MAPED EPH, Massachusets Department of Environmental Protection, 2004) and the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

| SAMPLE ID | SAMPLE DESCRIPTION | MATRIX | ANALYSIS PERFORMED |
|-----------|-----------------------|-------------|--|
| MC47884-1 | S-39S | Groundwater | Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges |
| MC47884-2 | RA-10S | Groundwater | Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges |
| MC47884-3 | MW-13 | Groundwater | Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges |
| MC47884-4 | MW-7 | Groundwater | Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges |
| MC47884-5 | MW-3 | Groundwater | Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges |
| MC47884-6 | G-1R (3) | Groundwater | Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges |
| MC47884-7 | E-1 | Groundwater | Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges |

Reviewer Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

October 6, 2016

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: S-39S Lab Sample ID: Matrix:

MC47884-1

AQ - Ground Water MADEP VPH REV 1.1

Date Sampled: 09/15/16 Date Received: 09/20/16

Percent Solids:

Method: Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

| Run #1 Run #2 | File ID AB95338.D AB95339.D | DF 1 10 | Analyzed 09/21/16 09/21/16 | By AF AF | Prep Date n/a n/a | Prep Batch n/a n/a | Analytical Batch GAB5264 GAB5264 |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|
|------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|

Purge Volume Run #1 5.0 ml Run #2 $5.0 \, ml$

Volatile TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|---------|--|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| | C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.) C5- C8 Aliphatics C9- C12 Aliphatics | 23.3 7830 a 35.0 19.1 1470 | 50 500 50 50 50 | 8.8 80 9.7 8.8 8.0 | ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l | J JB J |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run# 1 | Run# 2 | Limi | its | |
| | 2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene 2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene | 102% 106% | 99% 104% | 70-1: 70-1: | | |

(a) Result is from Run# 2



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

By

TA

Prep Date

09/26/16

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-39S Lab Sample ID:

MC47884-1

Matrix: Method: AQ - Ground Water

DF

1

MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C

Analyzed

10/01/16

Date Sampled: Date Received: 09/20/16

09/15/16

Percent Solids: n/a

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Prep Batch OP48782

Analytical Batch **GDE875**

Run #1 Run #2

Initial Volume

File ID

DE15707.D

Final Volume

960 ml

2.0 ml

Run #1 Run #2

Extractable TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|--|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) C9-C18 Aliphatics C19-C36 Aliphatics C11-C22 Aromatics | ND ND ND ND | 100 100 100 100 | 30 17 28 30 | ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l | |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run#1 | Run# 2 | Limi | ts | |
| 84-15-1 321-60-8 3386-33-2 580-13-2 | o-Terphenyl 2-Fluorobiphenyl 1-Chlorooctadecane 2-Bromonaphthalene | 75% 92% 71% 99% | | 40-14 40-14 40-14 40-14 | 10% 10% | |



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

| | nt Sample ID: |
|-----|---------------|
| Lab | Sample ID: |

RA-10S MC47884-2

Matrix: Method:

AQ - Ground Water

MADEP VPH REV 1:1 BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico Date Sampled: 09/15/16 Date Received: 09/20/16

Percent Solids: n/a

| | File ID | DF | Analyzed | By | Prep Date | Prep Batch | Analytical Batch |
|--------|-----------|----|----------|----|-----------|------------|------------------|
| Run #1 | AB95343.D | 1 | 09/21/16 | AF | n/a | n/a | GAB5264 |

Run #2

Project:

Purge Volume

Run #1 Run #2 $5.0 \, \mathrm{ml}$

Volatile TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|---------|--|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.) C5- C8 Aliphatics C9- C12 Aliphatics | 29.5 70.8 28.7 25.3 ND | 50 50 50 50 50 | 8.8 8.0 9.7 8.8 8.0 | ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l | J B JB J |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run# 1 | Run# 2 | Lim | its | |
| | 2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene 2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene | 94% 104% | | | 30% 30% | |



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Analytical Batch GDE875

| Client Sa Lab Sam Matrix: Method: Project: | AQ - MAI | | | Da | Date Sampled: 09 Date Received: 09 Percent Solids: n/ | | |
|--|-------------|----|----------|----|---|-----------|---------|
| Run #1 | File ID | DF | Analyzed | By | Prep Date | Prep Bate | h Analy |
| | DE15709.D | I | 10/01/16 | TA | 09/26/16 | OP48782 | GDE8 |

| Run #2 | | | 10/01/10 | | UF40702 | GDE873 | |
|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------|---|-------------|--------|--|
| Run #1 Run #2 | Initial Volume 950 ml | Final Volu 2,0 ml | lme | - | | | |

Extractable TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|--|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) C9-C18 Aliphatics C19-C36 Aliphatics C11-C22 Aromatics | ND ND ND ND | 110 110 110 110 | 30 18 29 30 | ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l | |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run#1 | Run# 2 | Lim | its | |
| 84-15-1 321-60-8 3386-33-2 580-13-2 | o-Terphenyl 2-Fluorobiphenyl 1-Chlorooctadecane 2-Bromonaphthalene | 83% 96% 81% 99% | | 40-1 40-1 40-1 40-1 | 40% 40% | |



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

 $N \, = \, Indicates \, \, presumptive \, evidence \, \, of \, a \, compound \, \,$

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

MW-13 MC47884-3

Matrix: Method:

AQ - Ground Water

Date Sampled: 09/16/16 Date Received: 09/20/16

MADEP VPH REV 1.1

Percent Solids:

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Prep Batch

Q

JB

JB

Analytical Batch

Run #2

Run #1

DF AB95335.D 1

Analyzed By 09/21/16 AF

n/a

MDL

8.8

8.0

9.7

8.8

8.0

Prep Date

Units

ug/l

ug/l

ug/l

ug/l

ug/I

n/a

GAB5264

Purge Volume 5.0 ml

Run #1 Run #2

CAS No.

Volatile TPHC Ranges

File ID

CAS No. Compound

C5: C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) C9 C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) C9 C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)

C5- C8 Aliphatics C9- C12 Aliphatics

Surrogate Recoveries

2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene 2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene

Run#1

Result

ND

30.2

25.8

ND

ND

99%

104%

Run#2

RL

50

50

50

50

50

Limits

70-130% 70-130%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

| Fil | e ID | DE | Analyzad | TDvr | Demo Data | Deen Date | 1. A S |
|---------------|---------|------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------|----------------|----------|
| Project: | BMS | MC, Buildir | ıg 5 Λrea, Puer | to Rico | | | |
| Method: | | | EV 1.1 SW846 | | P | ercent Solids: | n/a |
| Matrix: | - | Ground Wa | | | E | ate Received: | 09/20/16 |
| Lab Sample II | D: MC4 | 7884-3 | | | Σ | Date Sampled: | 09/16/16 |
| Client Sample | ID: MW- | 13 | | | | | |

| | File ID | DF | Analyzed | Ву | Prep Date | Prep Batch | Analytical Batch |
|--------|-----------|----|----------|----|-----------|------------|------------------|
| Run #1 | DE15710.D | 1 | 10/01/16 | TA | 09/26/16 | OP48782 | GDE875 |
| Run #2 | | | | | | | |

| Run #1 980 ml 2.0 ml Run #2 | | Initial Volume 980 ml | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

Extractable TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|----------------------|------------------------------|----|
| | C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) C9-C18 Aliphatics C19-C36 Aliphatics C11-C22 Aromatics | ND 36.8 ND ND | 100 100 100 100 | 29 17 28 29 | ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l | JB |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run#1 | Run# 2 | Lim | its | |
| 84-15-1 321-60-8 3386-33-2 580-13-2 | o-Terphenyl 2-Fluorobiphenyl 1-Chlorooctadecane 2-Bromonaphthalene | 100% 97% 100% 41% | 40-140% 40-140% 40-140% 40-140% | | | |



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: MW-7

Lab Sample ID: MC47884-4

Matrix: Method:

Project:

AQ - Ground Water

MADEP VPH REV 1.1

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 09/16/16 Date Received: 09/20/16

Percent Solids: n/a

File ID DF Ву Analyzed Prep Date Prep Batch Analytical Batch Run #1 AB95344.D 09/21/16 AF n/a n/a GAB5264

Run #2

Purge Volume

5.0 ml

Run #1 Run #2

Volatile TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|---------|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.) C5- C8 Aliphatics C9- C12 Aliphatics | 10.2 53.5 31.5 9.4 ND | 50 50 50 50 50 | 8.8 8.0 9.7 8.8 8.0 | ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l | J B JB J |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run#1 | Run# 2 | Lim | its | |
| | 2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene 2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene | 94% 103% | | | 30% 30% | |



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

| Client Sample ID: | MW-7 |
|-------------------|-------|
| Lab Sample ID: | MC478 |

Matrix

47884-4

Method: Project:

AQ - Ground Water

MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 09/16/16 Date Received: 09/20/16

Percent Solids:

| - 1 | Run #1 | File ID DE15711.D | DF 1 | Analyzed 10/01/16 | By TA | Prep Date 09/26/16 | Prep Batch OP48782 | Analytical Batch GDE875 |
|-----|--------|----------------------|---------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| | Run #2 | | | | | | | |

Initial Volume Final Volume 900 ml 2.0 ml

Run #1 Run #2

Extractable TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|----------------------|------------------------------|----|
| | C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) C9-C18 Aliphatics C19-C36 Aliphatics C11-C22 Aromatics | ND ND 31.9 ND | 110 110 110 110 | 32 19 30 32 | ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l | JB |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run#1 | Run# 2 | Lim | its | |
| 84-15-1 321-60-8 3386-33-2 580-13-2 | o-Terphenyl 2-Fluorobiphenyl 1-Chlorooctadecane 2-Bromonaphthalene | 104% 96% 97% 102% | 40-140% 40-140% 40-140% 40-140% | | | |



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

By

AF

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

MW-3 MC47884-5

Matrix: Method:

AQ - Ground Water MADEP VPH REV 1.1

Date Sampled: 09/16/16 Date Received: 09/20/16

Percent Solids:

Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Run #1 Run #2 File ID AB95340.D DF Analyzed 1 09/21/16

Prep Date n/a

Prep Batch n/a

Analytical Batch GAB5264

Purge Volume

Run #1 Run #2 5.0 ml

Volatile TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|---------|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.) C5- C8 Aliphatics C9- C12 Aliphatics | 37.7 506 377 37.3 125 | 50 50 50 50 50 | 8.8 8.0 9.7 8.8 8.0 | ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l | J |

CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries

2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene

2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene

Run#1 99% 105%

Limits 70-130% 70-130%

Run# 2



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

| Client Sa Lab Sam Matrix: Method; Project: | AQ - G MADE | round W P EPH R | ater EV 1.1 SW846 ing 5 Area, Puer | | Date Sampled: 09/16/16 Date Received: 09/20/16 Percent Solids: n/a | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------|--|----------|--|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Run #1 Run #2 | File ID DE15712.D | DF 1 | Analyzed 10/01/16 | By TA | Prep Date 09/26/16 | Prep Batch OP48782 | Analytical Batch GDE875 | |
| Run #1 | Initial Volume 960 ml | Final 3 | Volume | | | | | |

Extractable TPHC Ranges

Run #2

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|--|--|---------------------------|--|----------------------|------------------------------|----|
| | C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) C9-C18 Aliphatics C19-C36 Aliphatics C11-C22 Aromatics | 524 30.6 ND 440 | 100 100 100 100 | 30 17 28 30 | ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l | JΒ |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run#1 | Run# 2 | Limi | ts | |
| 84-15-1 321-60-8 3386-33-2 580-13-2 | o-Terphenyl 2-Fluorobiphenyl 1-Chlorooctadecane 2-Bromonaphthalene | 94% 92% 82% 102% | 40-140% 40-140% 40-140% 40-140% | | | |



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: G-1R(3) Lab Sample ID: MC47884-6

Matrix: Method:

AQ - Ground Water MADEP VPH REV 1.1

Date Sampled: 09/19/16 Date Received: 09/20/16

Percent Solids:

Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

| Run #1 Run #2 | File ID AB95341.D AB95352.D | DF 1 100 | Analyzed 09/21/16 09/21/16 | By AF AF | Prep Date n/a n/a | Prep Batch n/a n/a | Analytical Batch GAB5264 GAB5264 |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|
|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|

Purge Volume Run #1 $5.0 \, \mathrm{ml}$ Run #2 5.0 ml

Volatile TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|---------|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.) C5- C8 Aliphatics C9- C12 Aliphatics | 155 74600 a 125 63.7 14100 | 50 5000 50 50 50 | 8.8 800 9.7 8.8 8.0 | ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l | В |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run#1 | Run#2 Limits | | its | |
| | 2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene 2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene | 108% 113% | 97% 104% | 70-1 70-1 | | |

(a) Result is from Run# 2



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

G-1R(3) MC47884-6

AQ - Ground Water

DF

Date Sampled: 09/19/16

Matrix: Method:

MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C

Date Received: 09/20/16 Percent Solids: n/a

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Run #1 Run #2 File ID DE15713.D Analyzed By 10/01/16 TA Prep Date 09/26/16

Prep Batch OP48782

Analytical Batch GDE875

Run #2

Initial Volume Final Volume Run #1

880 ml

2.0 mI

Extractable TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| | C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) C9-C18 Aliphatics C19-C36 Aliphatics C11-C22 Aromatics | 59.9 ND 68.6 58.3 | 110 110 110 110 | 33 19 31 33 | ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l | J JB J |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run# 1 | Run# 2 | Lim | its | |
| 84-15-1 321-60-8 3386-33-2 580-13-2 | o-Terphenyl 2-Fluorobiphenyl 1-Chlorooctadecane 2-Bromonaphthalene | 104% 95% 91% 107% | 40-140% 40-140% 40-140% 40-140% | | | |



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:

Lab Sample ID:

MC47884-7

Matrix: Method:

Project:

AQ - Ground Water

MADEP VPH REV 1.1

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 09/19/16

Date Received: 09/20/16

Percent Solids: n/a

File ID DF Analyzed By Prep Date

Run #1 Run #2

AB95351.D 1

09/21/16

AF

n/a

Prep Batch n/a

Analytical Batch

GAB5264

Purge Volume

Run #1

5.0 ml

Run #2

Volatile TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|---------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|------|-------|----------|
| | C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 25.2 | 50 | 8.8 | ug/l | <u>J</u> |
| | C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 35.1 | 50 | 8.0 | ug/l | JB |
| | C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 30.2 | 50 | 9.7 | ug/I | JB |
| | C5- C8 Aliphatics | 17.8 | 50 | 8.8 | ug/i | J |
| | C9- C12 Aliphatics | ND | 50 | 8.0 | ug/l | _ |
| | 55 | | | | | |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run#1 | Run# 2 | Lim | its | |
| | 2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene | 97% | | 70-1 | 30% | |
| | 2.3.4-Trifluorotoluene | 103% | | | 30% | |



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

By

TA

Prep Date

09/26/16

Page 1 of 1

| | | nt Sample ID: | E-I |
|---|-----|---------------|-----|
| ĺ | Lab | Sample ID: | MC4 |
| | | | |

File ID

DE15714.D

7884-7 AQ - Ground Water

DF

1

Date Sampled: 09/19/16 Date Received: 09/20/16

Matrix: Method:

MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C

Percent Solids: n/a

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Analyzed

10/01/16

Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** OP48782 **GDE875**

Run #1 Run #2

Initial Volume Final Volume Run #1 950 ml $2.0 \, \mathrm{ml}$

Run #2

Extractable TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|--|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) C9-C18 Aliphatics C19-C36 Aliphatics C11-C22 Aromatics | 63.5 ND ND 33.5 | 110 110 110 110 | 30 18 29 30 | ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l | J |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run#1 | Run# 2 | Lim | its | |
| 84-15-1 321-60-8 3386-33-2 580-13-2 | o-Terphenyi 2-Fluorobiphenyl 1-Chlorooctadecane 2-Bromonaphthalene | 82% 92% 75% 101% | | 40-1 40-1 40-1 40-1 | 40% 40% | |



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

| 3 | |
|-----|--|
| ACC | |
| | |

.

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

PAGE _ [OF]

| NE | | | 50 D'Angelo I | or. Builds | ng One, M | - | L MAI | 01752 | | | | mp. | 777 | 2 57 | 25 6 | 5 19 | Dyste O | - | Part # | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------|-------------|------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|
| INE | | | TELS | | OS FAX | : 500-40 i | 7753 | | | | | - | Carried S | | | | C | ā. | Property Com | N. | m | -97884 |
| Client / Reporting Information | 1000 | 1000 | 2 Projec | t Inform | affor | ESSN | Dal. | 4(2) | 0.03 | | BAR. | 2 43 | Req | sested Ar | elysis I | (see Ti | | | | | | Martin Codes |
| Company Hama | Project Home. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Anderson Mulholland & Associates | BMSMC 3rd | Quarter Groun | denter San | opho. | 016 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | H | | | | | | DW - Distang Wa GW - Citayed Wel |
| Z790 Westchester Avenue, Suite 417 | | | | | - | | - | - | - | | | 7 | | | 1 | H | | | | - 1 | | WW - Water SW - Surface Wes |
| Chy Same Zo | Ch. | | Simu | - | ny Harma | | - | Total Re | gart to | - | _ | - | | | | П | 8 | | | | | SD+Sel SL-Sletge |
| Purchase MY 1657 Project Corese 5-and | 7 Humecso | | PR | Special | | | | | | | | 4 | 1 1 | | | 1 | 200 | | | | . 1 | SED-Sedement OI - DB |
| 10 TO TAX | 624_2016 | | | | Cry Same 26 | | | | | | | 1 | L | | | | - 1 | | LID-Onertique AIR-Ar | | | |
| Terry Taylor Prove # Fee # | Chart Purphase | Order # | | Chy | | | | | 1 | П | | | | | | | | | SOL - Other Sea WP - Wigo | | | |
| 914-291-0480 Serestoria Instrumental Prince C | Pionel Manca | | | - | African | | | - | | | | П | | | | 1 | 8 1 | Fil-Fund Shipti, ED-Equipment Cla | | | | |
| N. Rivers, R. Stuart, J.D. Valle, D. Lindstrand | Terry Taylor | | | | | | | | E | П | | | ы | | | | - 1 | 1 | PS-Piran Dank TS-Tro Blank | | | |
| | | | - | - | 1 | | F | North | of pre- | | ÷. | ΙĒ | ₹ | | | Ш | | | | - 1 | | |
| Field ID / Point of Collection | MECHANIA | Date | Tain | - | - | | 23 | | ğ ş | | | BMA EPH | AN AN | | | | | | | | | LAB USE ONL |
| 1 S-39S | | | 1508 | M | 9 | 5 | 15 | П | Т | П | П | K | X | | | | - 7 | | | | П | |
| 2 RA-105 | | | 1502 | NR | CW | 5 | 5 | П | T | П | П | X | X | | T | INTE | AI A | SES | SMEN | - 1 | A | |
| 3 MW-13 | | 9-16-16 | 1209 | N | 5W | 5 | 5 | П | Т | П | П | TX | X | \neg | | | | | | | 7 | |
| 4 MW-7 | | 7-16-16 | 1357 | | 6W | 5 | ব | П | T | IT | \sqcap | K | X | | | LAG | LV | RIF | CATI | IN F | 24 | - |
| 5 MW-3 | | 9-16-16 | 1614 | | GW | 5 | 1 | T | | 1 | Ħ | ĺχ | X | | | | \neg | | | 1 | \neg | |
| 6 G-IR (3) | | 9-19-16 | 1259 | | GW | 5 | 3 | Ħ | | | Ħ | Tx | × | | | | | | | | ┪ | |
| 71 E-1 | | 9-19-16 | 1312 | | GW | 5 | 3 | Ħ | \top | H | Ħ | X | X | | | | \neg | \neg | | _ | \neg | 190 |
| | | | | | | _ | Ť | 11 | | | †† | 1 | \Box | | | \Box | \neg | \neg | \neg | - | ╛ | lBy |
| | | | | | | | | П | | | T | | | | | \Box | 7 | | \exists | 1 | \forall | 197 |
| | | | | - | | | П | П | П | 1 | П | - | П | | | \Box | 4 | | | T | | |
| | Service Services | Brown SE | Silver Fil | 5-1 | 2005 | - A | | Н | | | Н | 2015 | 12.00 | 400 | | 077 | | | | 600 | 20 | 0.0000000 |
| Turnstand Time (Russians cops) | Street Sale | Maria Salaman Salaman | - Quinting | 9/1/09 | ger cerpi | Omin | Debe | rathe h | done | - | 11 | 4900 | Contract of | SCHOOL SE | Mileson. | Comm | - I | Services | Irathat | ara E | - | and the second |
| The Statemen Days Det. 18 Destronce Days Det. 18 Destronce Days (by Construct only) 19 Cap Augus 19 Days August 19 Days August | | PATE PERMIT | | 層 | ULLIN (| M.C. | ovel 27) () | | | Shirts Shirts EDD | SP Coto SP Coto Perma Perma | gary B | _ | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 Day EMERGENCY 1 Day ENERGENCY Emergency & Plant TAL days available VALLables | 4 41 05 | | | | | Caretera Caretara SL) Medica | e We | Pend | E CAY | Sure | wy | | . | | - | _ | | _ | _ | | _ | |
| A per many page of an electric | - Sec | opin Controly | att be discuss | and is | dow one! | - | mpins. | charg | - | - | er, inci | ueling . | ourier e | | | | Name | -statu | 1111 | (A 10 PK) | SOA II. | ETHAN TH |
| 11/ | 16 1700 | Fed | EX | | | | 2 | nded D | F | 97 | 70 | | | °Z; | Z0-/6 | 73. | | سرا | 2 | <i>ኤ</i> | \leq | 1 |
| Miniplacing Systems Onto These | | 3 | | | | | - | industrial Dis | F | | | | | Date 1 | lenc . | | | Byr | | | | - |
| Reduces that they | | S | | | | | 43 | 2. | 43 | 4 | 0 | Principle (State or note) | P | | | | | | P3 | 7 | 54 | - |

MC47884: Chain of Custody Page 1 of 3

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No: MC47884 Laboratory: Accutest, Massachusetts

Analysis: MADEP VPH Number of Samples: 7

Location: BMSMC, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY: Seven (7) samples were analyzed for Volatiles TPHC Ranges by method MADEP

VPH. Samples were validated following the METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF VOLATILE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EVH) quality control criteria, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the

primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues: None Major: None Minor: None

Critical findings: None Major findings: None

Minor findings: 1. Analytes detected in method blank at a concentration below the

reporting limits. Analytes detected in sample batch above MDL but below the reporting limits. Laboratory qualified the results as JB. Sample results below the reporting limit are qualified undetected (U) at the reporting

limits; results above the reporting limit/action level are retained.

COMMENTS: Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name: Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Rafuel Defaut

Signature:

Date: October 6, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: MC47884-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 9/15/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

| Analyte Name | Result | Units Di | lution Factor | Lab Flag | Validation | Reportable |
|------------------------------|--------|----------|---------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 23.3 | ug/L | 1 | J | J | Yes |
| Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 7830 | ug/L | 10 | - | - | Yes |
| Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 35.0 | ug/L | 1 | JB | U | Yes |
| Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics | 19.1 | ug/L | 1 | J | J | Yes |
| Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics | 1470 | ug/L | 1 | - | - | Yes |

Sample ID: MC47884-2

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 9/15/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

| Analyte Name | Result | Units D | ilution Factor | Lab Flag | Validation | Reportable |
|------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 29.5 | ug/L | 1 | J | J | Yes |
| Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 70.8 | ug/L | 10 | В | - | Yes |
| Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 28.7 | ug/L | 1 | JB | U | Yes |
| Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics | 25.3 | ug/L | 1 | J | J | Yes |
| Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics | 50 | ug/L | 1 | - | - | Yes |

Sample ID: MC47884-3

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 9/16/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

| Analyte Name | Result | Units Di | lution Factor | Lab Flag | Validation | Reportable |
|------------------------------|--------|----------|---------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 50 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |
| Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 30.2 | ug/L | 1 | JB | U | Yes |
| Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 25.8 | ug/L | 1 | JB | U | Yes |
| Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics | 50 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |
| Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics | 50 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |

Sample ID: MC47884-4

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 9/16/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

| Analyte Name | Result | Units Di | lution Factor | Lab Flag | Validation | Reportable |
|------------------------------|--------|----------|---------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 10.2 | ug/L | 1 | J | J | Yes |
| Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 53.5 | ug/L | 1 | В | - | Yes |
| Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 31.5 | ug/L | 1 | JB | U | Yes |
| Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics | 9.4 | ug/L | 1 | J | J | Yes |
| Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics | 50 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |

Sample ID: MC47884-5

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 9/16/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

| Analyte Name | Result | Units D | ilution Factor | Lab Flag | Validation | Reportable |
|------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 37.7 | ug/L | 1 | J | J | Yes |
| Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 506 | ug/L | 1 | - | - | Yes |
| Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 377 | ug/L | 1 | - | - | Yes |
| Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics | 37.3 | ug/L | 1 | J | J | Yes |
| Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics | 125 | ug/L | 1 | - | - | Yes |

Sample ID: MC47884-6

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 9/19/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

| Analyte Name | Result | Units D | lution Factor | Lab Flag | Validation | Reportable |
|------------------------------|--------|---------|---------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 155 | ug/L | 1 | - | - | Yes |
| Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 74600 | ug/L | 100 | - | - | Yes |
| Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 12.1 | ug/L | 125 | В | - | Yes |
| Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics | 63.7 | ug/L | 1 | - | - | Yes |
| Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics | 14100 | ug/L | 1 | - | - | Yes |

Sample ID: MC47884-7

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 9/19/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

| Analyte Name | Result | Units D | ilution Factor | Lab Flag | Validation | Reportable |
|------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 25.2 | ug/L | 1 | J | J | Yes |
| Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 35.1 | ug/L | 1 | JB | U | Yes |
| Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 30.2 | ug/L | 1 | JB | U | Yes |
| Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics | 17.8 | ug/L | 1 | J | J | Yes |
| Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics | 50 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

| Type of validation | Full:X Limited: | Project Number:_MC47884 |
|---|--|---|
| REVIEW OF | VOLATILE PETROLE | UM HYDROCARBON (VPHs) PACKAGE |
| actions. This documer informed decision and assessed according to METHOD FOR THE Dassachusetts Depart validation guidelines p | nt will assist the review in better serving the outle the data validation guide DETERMINATION OF Note that of Environmental romulgated by the US ation actions listed on | organics were created to delineate required validation wer in using professional judgment to make more needs of the data users. The sample results were ance documents in the following order of precedence /OLATILE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (VPH), Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general EPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC the data review worksheets are from the primary |
| The hardcopied (lab received has been review for SVOCs included) | iewed and the quality c | test_Laboratories data package ontrol and performance data summarized. The data |
| No. of Samples: Field blank No.: Equipment blank No.: | _7 | Sample matrix:Groundwater |
| X Data CompletX Holding Times | eness S G ard Performance Coveries | X Laboratory Control SpikesX Field DuplicatesX CalibrationsX Compound IdentificationsX Compound QuantitationX Quantitation Limits |
| Overall Comr (C5_to_C12_Aliphatics; | ments:Volati _C9_to_C10_Aromatics | iles_by_GC_by_Method_MADEP_VPH,_REV_1.1) |
| Definition of Qualifiers: | | |
| J- Estimated resul U- Compound not R- Rejected data UJ- Estimated notid Reviewer: All Land | detected | |

| | | Criteria were no | ot met and/or see below |
|------|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. | DATA COMPLETNE A. Data Packag | | |
| MISS | SING INFORMATION | DATE LAB. CONTACTED | DATE RECEIVED |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| B. | Other | | Discrepancies: |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| All criteria were metX |
|--|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below |

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of extraction, and subsequently from the time of extraction to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

| SAMPLE ID | DATE SAMPLED | DATE EXTRACTED | DATE ANALYZED | ACTION |
|-------------|-----------------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| _ | OAIVII LLD | DATITACIED | ANALIZED | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Samples ana | | hod recommende: vithin the required | d holding time. San criteria. | nple preservation |
| | | ` | | |
| | | | | |
| | | 1 | | |

Criteria

Preservation:

Samples analyzed with ambient purge temperature: Samples must be acidified to a pH of 2.0 or less at the time of collection.

Samples analyzed with heated purge temperature: Samples must be treated to a pH of 11.0 or greater at the time of collection.

Methanol preservation of soil/sediment samples is mandatory. Methanol (purgeand-trap grade) must be added to the sample vial before or immediately after sample collection. In lieu of the in-field preservation of samples with methanol, soil samples may be obtained in specially-designed air tight sampling devices, provided that the samples are extruded and preserved in methanol within 48 hours of collection.

Holding times:

Aqueous samples using ambient or heated purge - analyze within 14 days. Soil/sediment samples - analysis within 28 days.

| Cooler temperature (C | Criteria: 4 <u>+</u> 2 | 2 °C):3.7° | °C |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------|----|
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------|----|

Actions: Qualify positive results/non-detects as follows:

If holding times are exceeded, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify data. The data reviewer may choose to estimate positive results (J) and rejects nondetects (R).

If samples were not at the proper temperature (> 10°C) or improperly preserved, use professional judgment to qualify the results.

| | | C | All criteria were not met an | eria were metX d/or see below | |
|----------------------------|---|-----------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| CALIBRAT | IONS VERIFIC | CATION | | | |
| | Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data. | | | | |
| | | Date of i | nitial calibration:07/ | 13/16 | |
| | | Dates of | initial calibration verific | ation:07/13/16_ | |
| Instrument ID numbers:GCAB | | | | | |
| | | Matrix/Le | evel:AQUEOUS/I | MEDIUM | |
| DATE | LAB FILE ID# | ANALYTE | CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r | SAMPLES AFFECTED | |

Initial and initial calibration verification meet method specific requirements

Criteria- ICAL

- Five point calibration curve.
- The percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the analyte of interest. When this condition is met, linearity through the origin may be assumed, and the average calibration factor is used in lieu of a calibration curve.
- A collective calibration factor must also be established for each hydrocarbon range of interest. Calculate the collective CFs for C5-C8 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons and C9-C12 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons using the FID chromatogram. Calculate the collective CF for the C9-C10 Aromatic Hydrocarbons using the PID chromatogram. Tabulate the summation of the peak areas of all components in that fraction against the total concentration injected. The %RSD of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the hydrocarbon range of interest.

Criteria- CCAL

- At a minimum, the working calibration factor must be verified on each working day, after every 20 samples, and at the end of the analytical sequence by the injection of a mid-level continuing calibration standard to verify instrument performance and linearity.
- If the percent difference (%D) for any analyte varies from the predicted response by more than ±25%, a new five-point calibration must be performed for that analyte.
 Greater percent differences are permissible for n-nonane. If the %D for n-nonane is greater than 30, note the nonconformance in the case narrative. It should be noted that the %Ds are calculated when CFs are used for the initial calibration and

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

percent drifts are calculated when calibration curves using linear regression are used for the initial calibration.

Actions:

If %RSD > 25% for target compounds or a correlation coefficient < 0.99, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects. If % D > 25% (> 30 for nonane), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

| Date of initial calibration: | 07/13/16 |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Dates of continuing calibr | ation verification:09/21/16 |
| Dates of final calibration v | verification:_07/13/16;_09/21/16 |
| Instrument ID numbers: | GCAB |
| Matrix/Level: | AQUEOUS/MEDIUM |
| | |

| DATE | LAB FILE ID# | ANALYTE | CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r | SAMPLES AFFECTED |
|--------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | | | | |
| Contin | uing and final o | alibration verific | cation meets method speci | fic requirements. |
| | | veille | | |

Note:

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

Note:

| | | | Criteria were not ı | | vere metX | |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|---------------------------------|
| √A. BLANŀ | K ANALYSIS RE | SULTS (Sec | ctions 1 & 2) | | | |
| of contaminates associated with any blandetermine who broblem is ar | tion problems. In the samples, in the samples, in the samples at the samples susting the samples susting is one problems. It is one problems is the samples susting is the samples sustained in the sample sustained is the sample sustained in the sample sustained is the sample sustained in the sample sustained is the sample sustained in the sample sustained in the sample sustained is the sample sustained in the sample sustained in the sample sustained is the sample sustained in the sa | The criteria including tripa associated e is an inhe ence not af | ults is to determing for evaluation of b, equipment, and d with the case rent variability in fecting other data eing highly contain | blanks apply laboratory blanks be carefuthe data for the ALABORATOR | only to blance only to blance on the contract of the contract | nks ms I to the ank |
| ist the conta separately. | mination in the | blanks belo | w. High and low | levels blanks | must be trea | ted |
| _aboratory bla | anks | | | | | |
| DATE ANALYZED | LAB ID | LEVEL/ MATRIX | COMPOUND | CONCE UNITS | ENTRATION | |
| | BLANKS MEET DWING: | | THOD SPECIFIC | CRITERIA_ | EXCEPT_FC | R_ |
| | GWX3841-MB | | C9-C12_(Alipha C9-C10_(Aron | atics)2 natics)2 | 7.5_ug/L 27.5_ug/L | - |
| Note: | limits. Analytes reporting limits below the reporting | detected Laboratory | od blank at a cor in sample batch g qualified the re e qualified undete limit/action level a | above MDL esults as JB. cted (U) at the | but below Sample resu | the ults |
| Field/Trip/Equ | ipment | | | | | |
| DATE ANALYZED | LAB ID | LEVEL/ MATRIX | COMPOUND | CONCEN UNITS | ITRATION | |
| | | | _ANALYZED_AS | | /ITH_THIS | - |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| All criteria were metX |
|--|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below |

V B. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. Peaks must not be detected above the Reporting Limit within the retention time window of any analyte of interest. The hydrocarbon ranges must not be detected at a concentration greater than 10% of the most stringent MCP cleanup standard. Specific actions area as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is \geq SQL but < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

| All criteria were met> | |
|--|--|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below _ | |

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery. Matrix: solid/aqueous

| SAMPLE ID | SURROGATE COMPOUND 2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene | | | ACTION |
|------------------------|---|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| _SURROGATE_ _LIMITS | STANDARD_R | ECOVERIES_WIT | HIN_LABORATOR | Y_CONTROL |
| | | | | |
| QC Limits* (Aque | | 130to_ | to | 2 |
| QC Limits* (Solid | d) | to | to to | |

It is recommended that surrogate standard recoveries be monitored and documented on a continuing basis. At a minimum, when surrogate recovery from a sample, blank, or QC sample is less than 70% or more than 130%, check calculations to locate possible errors. check the fortifying standard solution for degradation, and check changes in instrument performance.

If the cause cannot be determined, reanalyze the sample unless one of the following exceptions applies:

- (1) Obvious interference is present on the chromatogram (e.g., unresolved complex mixture):
- Percent moisture of associated soil/sediment sample is >25% and surrogate (2)recovery is >10%; or
- The surrogate exhibits high recovery and associated target analytes or (3)hydrocarbon ranges are not detected in sample.

If a sample with a surrogate recovery outside of the acceptable range is not reanalyzed based on any of these aforementioned exceptions, this information must be noted on the data report form and discussed in the Executive Report. Analysis of the sample on dilution may diminish matrix-related surrogate recovery problems. This approach can be used as long as the reporting limits to evaluate applicable MCP standards can still be achieved with the dilution. If not, reanalysis without dilution must be performed.

| All criteria were met | X |
|--|---|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below | |

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples.

At the request of the data user, and in consideration of sample matrices and data quality objectives, matrix spikes and matrix duplicates may be analyzed with every batch of 20 samples or less per matrix.

- Matrix duplicate Matrix duplicates are prepared by analyzing one sample in duplicate. The purpose of the matrix duplicates is to determine the homogeneity of the sample matrix as well as analytical precision. The RPD of detected results in the matrix duplicate samples must not exceed 50 when the results are greater than 5x the reporting limit.
- The desired spiking level is 50% of the highest calibration standard. However, the total concentration in the MS (including the MS and native concentration in the unspiked sample) should not exceed 75% of the highest calibration standard in order for a proper evaluation to be performed. The purpose of the matrix spike is to determine whether the sample matrix contributes bias to the analytical results. The corrected concentrations of each analyte within the matrix spiking solution must be within 70 130% of the true value. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible (if included in the calibration of the C9-C12 aliphatic range), but must be noted in the narrative if <30%.</p>

| MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria | |
|---|---------------------------|
| Sample ID:_MC47884-3_MS/MSD | Matrix/Level:_Groundwater |
| List the %Rs_RPD of the compounds which do no | t meet the OC criteria |

Note: MS/MSD % recovery and RPD within laboratory control limits.

No action is taken on MS/MSD results alone to qualify the entire case. However, used informed professional judgment, the data reviewer may use the MS/MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data. In those instances where it can be determined that the results of the MS/MSD affect only the sample spiked, the qualification should be limited to this sample alone. However, it may be determined through the MS/MSD results that the laboratory is having a systematic problem in the analysis of one or more analytes, which affects the associated samples.

| | | | Criteria w | | a were metX_ r see below |
|--|--|----------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2. MS/MSD – U | nspiked Compou | nds | | | |
| List the concentration compounds in the ur | ons of the unspik nspiked sample, r | ed con | npounds a pike, and r | nd determine the matrix spike duplic | % RSDs of these ate. |
| COMPOUND | CONCENTRAT SAMPLE | | MSD | %RPD | ACTION |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | · |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Criteria: None specifi | ied, use %RSD <u><</u> | 50 as | professiona | al judgment. | |
| Actions: | | | | | |
| If the % RSD > 50, q If the % RSD is not o use professional judo | alculable (NC) du | ue to no | ondetect va | | |

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

| All criteria were met | X |
|--|---|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below _ | |

VIII. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS/LCSD) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

| LCS ID | COMPOUND | % R | QC LIMIT | ACTION | |
|--------|-----------------|-----------|--------------|--------|--|
| LCS_RE | COVERY_WITHIN_L | ABORATORY | _CONTROL_LIM | TS | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Criteria:

- * Refer to QAPP for specific criteria.
- * The spike recovery must be between 70% and 130%. Lower recoveries of nnonane are permissible (if included in the calibration of the C9-C12 aliphatic range). If the recovery of n-nonane is <30%, note the nonconformance in the executive narrative.

Actions:

Actions on LCS recovery should be based on both the number of compounds that are outside the %R criteria and the magnitude of the excedance of the criteria.

If the %R of the analyte is > UL, qualify all positive results (j) for the affected analyte in the associated samples and accept nondetects.

If the %R of the analyte is < LL, qualify all positive results (j) and reject (R) nondetects for the affected analyte in the associated samples.

If more than half the compounds in the LCS are not within the required recovery criteria, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R) for all target analyte(s) in the associated samples.

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix (1 per 20 samples per matrix)? Yes or No.

If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected. Discuss the actions below:

| | All criter | ria were me | ∍t |
|-------|--------------------------------------|-------------|------|
| | Criteria were not met and/or se | e below _ | _N/A |
| IX. | FIELD/LABORATORY DUPLICATE PRECISION | | |
| Sampl | le IDs: | Matrix: | |

Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which measures only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

| COMPOUND | SQL | SAMPLE CONC. | DUPLICATE CONC. | RPD | ACTION |
|----------|-----|-----------------|---|-----|--------|
| | | | data package. MS/tory and validation of | | |
| | | | ected above reportin | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Criteria:

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information. RPD \pm 30% for aqueous samples, RPD \pm 50 % for solid samples if results are \geq SQL. If both samples and duplicate are \leq SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

SQL = soil quantitation limit

Actions:

If both the sample and the duplicate results are nondetects (ND), the RPD is not calculable (NC). No action is needed.

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria.

If one sample result is not detected and the other is $\geq 5x$ the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

Note: If SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is < 5x the SQL, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

| All criteria were met> | |
|--|--|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below | |

XI. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The compound identification evaluation is to verify that the laboratory correctly identified target analytes as well as tentatively identified compounds (TICs).

- 1. Verify that the target analytes were within the retention time windows.
 - Retention time windows must be re-established for each Target VPH Analyte each time a new GC column is installed, and must be verified and/or adjusted on a daily basis.
 - o Coelution of the m- and p- xylene isomers is permissible.
 - All surrogates must be adequately resolved from individual Target Analytes included in the VPH Component Standard.
 - For the purposes of this method, adequate resolution is assumed to be achieved if the height of the valley between two peaks is less than 25% of the average height of the two peaks.
 - The n-pentane (C5) and MtBE peaks must be adequately resolved from any solvent front that may be present on the FID and PID chromatograms, respectively.

Note: Target analytes were within the retention time window.

2. If target analytes and/or TICs were not correctly identified, request that the laboratory resubmit the corrected data.

| The sample que 1. In the s MC47884-1 FID [] = (201961)/([] = 0.516 ppb MC47884-1 PID [] = (25528828 [] = 34.93 ppb 2. If reque | pace below, please show a m VPH (C5 – C7 $^{\circ}$ 3.913 x $^{\circ}$) | y laboratory quantitation results. inimum of one sample calculation: Aliphatics) RF = 3.913 x 10 ⁵ |
|--|---|---|
| 1. In the s MC47884-1 FID [] = (201961)/([] = 0.516 ppb MC47884-1 PID [] = (25528828 [] = 34.93 ppb 2. If reque | pace below, please show a m VPH (C5 – C7 3.913 x 10 ⁵) Ok VPH (C9 – C10 | inimum of one sample calculation: Aliphatics) RF = 3.913 x 10 ⁵ |
| MC47884-1 FID [] = (201961)/([] = 0.516 ppb MC47884-1 PID [] = (25528828 [] = 34.93 ppb 2. If reque | VPH (C5 – C7 3.913 x 10 ⁵) Ok VPH (C9 – C10 | Aliphatics) RF = 3.913 x 10 ⁵ |
| FID [] = (201961)/([] = 0.516 ppb MC47884-1 PID [] = (25528828 [] = 34.93 ppb 2. If reque | 3.913 x 10 ⁵) Ok VPH (C9 – C10 | |
| [] = (201961)/([] = 0.516 ppb MC47884-1 PID [] = (25528828 [] = 34.93 ppb 2. If reque | Ok VPH (C9 – C10 |) Aromatics) RF = 7.308 x 10 ⁵ |
| [] = 0.516 ppb MC47884-1 PID [] = (25528828 [] = 34.93 ppb 2. If reque | Ok VPH (C9 – C10 |) Aromatics) RF = 7.308 x 10 ⁵ |
| MC47884-1 PID [] = (25528828 [] = 34.93 ppb 2. If reque | VPH (C9 – C10 | Aromatics) RF = 7.308 x 10 ⁵ |
| PID [] = (25528828 [] = 34.93 ppb 2. If reque | |) Aromatics) RF = 7.308 x 10 ⁵ |
| PID [] = (25528828 [] = 34.93 ppb 2. If reque | | Aromatics) RF = 7.308 x 10 ⁵ |
| [] = (25528828 [] = 34.93 ppb 2. If reque | 3)/(7.308 x 10 ⁵) | |
| [] = 34.93 ppb 2. If reque | 3)/(7.308 x 10 ⁵) | |
| 2. If reque | | |
| | Ok | |
| (MDLs). | ested, verify that the results we | ere above the laboratory method detection lin |
| the affe | cted samples and dilution fact | |
| SAMPLE MC47884-1 | ID DILUTION FACTOR 10 X | |
| | | Aliphatic hydrocarbons over calibration range |
| MC47884-6 | 100 X | Aliphatic hydrocarbons over calibration range |
| | | |
| <u> </u> | | |
| | | |

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No: MC47884 Laboratory: Accutest, Massachusetts

Analysis: MADEP EPH Number of Samples: 7

Location: BMSMC, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY: Seven (7) samples were analyzed for Extractables TPHC Ranges by method MADEP

EPH. Samples were validated following the METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPH) quality control criteria, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets

are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues: None Major: None Minor: None

Critical findings: None Major findings: None

Minor findings: 1. Initial and continuing calibration meets method specific requirements.

Closing calibration included in data package. Closing calibration meets method specific requirements except for the cases described in the Data Review Worksheet. C19-C36 aliphtic hydrocarbons qualified as estimated

(J) or (UJ) in affected samples.

2. Analytes detected in method blank at a concentration below the reporting limits. Analytes detected in sample batch above MDL but below the reporting limits. Laboratory qualified the results as JB. Sample results below the reporting limit are qualified undetected (U) at the reporting

limits; results above the reporting limit/action level are retained.

COMMENTS: Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name: Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature: Rafael Dufacet

Date: October 6, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: MC47884-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 9/15/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP EPH

| Analyte Name | Result | Units Di | ilution Factor | Lab Flag | Validation | Reportable |
|------------------------------|--------|----------|----------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 100 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |
| Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics | 100 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |
| Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics | 100 | ug/L | 1 | - | UJ | Yes |
| Ç11 - C22 Aliphatics | 100 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |

Sample ID: MC47884-2

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 9/15/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

| Analyte Name | Result | Units D | ilution Factor | Lab Flag | Validation | Reportable |
|------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |
| Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |
| Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | UJ | Yes |
| Ç11 - C22 Aliphatics | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |

Sample ID: MC47884-3

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 9/16/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP EPH

| Analyte Name | Result | Units Di | lution Factor | Lab Flag | Validation | Reportable |
|------------------------------|--------|----------|---------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 100 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |
| Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics | 36.8 | ug/L | 1 | JB | U | Yes |
| Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics | 100 | ug/L | 1 | - | UJ | Yes |
| Ç11 - C22 Aliphatics | 100 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |

Sample ID: MC47884-4

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 9/16/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

| Analyte Name | Result | Units D | ilution Factor | Lab Flag | Validation | Reportable |
|------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |
| Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |
| Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics | 31.9 | ug/L | 1 | JB | U | Yes |
| C11 - C22 Aliphatics | 110 | ug/L | 1 | _ | U | Yes |

Sample ID: MC47884-5

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 9/16/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP EPH

| Analyte Name | Result | Units [| Dilution Factor | Lab Flag | Validation | Reportable |
|------------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 524 | ug/L | 1 | - | - | Yes |
| Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics | 30.6 | ug/L | 1 | JB | U | Yes |
| Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics | 100 | ug/L | 1 | - | UJ | Yes |
| Ç11 - C22 Aliphatics | 440 | ug/L | 1 | - | - | Yes |

Sample ID: MC47884-6

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 9/19/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

| Analyte Name | Result | Units Di | lution Factor | Lab Flag | Validation | Reportable |
|------------------------------|--------|----------|---------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 59.9 | ug/L | 1 | J | J | Yes |
| Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |
| Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics | 68.6 | ug/L | 1 | JB | U | Yes |
| C11 - C22 Aliphatics | 58.3 | ug/L | 1 | J | J | Yes |

Sample ID: MC47884-7

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 9/19/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

| Analyte Name | Result | Units Di | lution Factor | Lab Flag | Validation | Reportable |
|------------------------------|--------|----------|---------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 63.5 | ug/L | 1 | J | J | Yes |
| Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |
| Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | UJ | Yes |
| Ç11 - C22 Aliphatics | 33.5 | ug/L | 1 | J | J | Yes |

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

| Type of validation Full:X Limited: | Project Number:_MC47884 |
|---|--|
| REVIEW OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLE | UM HYDROCARBON (EPHs) PACKAGE |
| validation actions. This document will assist the more informed decision and in better serving to were assessed according to the data validation precedence METHOD FOR THE DETERM HYDROCARBONS (VPH), Massachusetts Depa (2004). Also the general validation guidelines | e organics were created to delineate required reviewer in using professional judgment to make he needs of the data users. The sample results in guidance documents in the following order of IINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM artment of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes ation actions listed on the data review worksheets otherwise noted. |
| The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutest received has been reviewed and the quality contreview for SVOCs included: | Laboratories data package trol and performance data summarized. The data |
| Lab. Project/SDG No.:MC47884 | |
| X Holding TimesN/A GC/MS TuningN/A Internal Standard Performance | X_ Laboratory Control SpikesX_ Field DuplicatesX_ CalibrationsX_ Compound IdentificationsX_ Compound QuantitationX_ Quantitation Limits |
| Overall _Extractable_Petroleum_Hydrocarbons_by_GC_(C9_to_C36_Aliphatics;_C11_to_C22_(Aromatic | Comments: _by_Method_MADEP_EPH,_REV_1.1s) |
| Definition of Qualifiers: | |
| J- Estimated results U- Compound not detected R- Rejected data UJ- Estimated nondetect Reviewer: AWW MWW Date:_10/05/2016 | |

| | | All criteria were metx net and/or see below |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| DATA COMPLETN A. Data Packa | | |
| MISSING INFORMATION | DATE LAB. CONTACTED | DATE RECEIVED |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| B. Other | | Discrepancies: |
| | | 4508024 888 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| All criteria were metX |
|--|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below |

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of extraction, and subsequently from the time of extraction to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

| I . | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Samples extracted and analyzed within method recommended holding time. Sample preservation within the required criteria. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Criteria

Preservation:

Aqueous samples must be acidified to a pH of 2.0 or less at the time of collection.

Soil samples must be cooled at 4 ± 2 °C immediately after collection.

Holding times:

Samples must be extracted within 14 days of collection, and analyzed within 40 days of extraction.

| Cooler temperature | (Criteria: | 4 ± 2 °C): | 3.7°C | |
|--------------------|------------|------------|-------|--|
| | | | | |

Actions: Qualify positive results/nondetects as follows:

If holding times are exceeded, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ). If holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify data. The data reviewer may choose to estimate positive results (J) and rejects nondetects (R). If samples were not at the proper temperature (> 10°C) or improperly preserved, use professional judgment to qualify the results.

| | | Crite | All criteria eria were not met and/o | a were metX or see below |
|----------|--------------------|------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| CALIBRAT | IONS VERIFIC | ATION | | |
| | at the instrum | | nstrument calibration producing and mai | |
| Dat | e of initial calib | ration:08/05 | 5/16 | |
| Dat | es of initial cali | bration verification:_ | 08/05/16 | |
| Inst | rument ID num | bers:GCD | E | |
| Mat | rix/Level: | _AQUEOUS/MEDIUI | M | |
| | | | | |
| DATE | LAB FILE ID# | ANALYTE | CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r | SAMPLES AFFECTED |
| | | | | |
| Initi | al and initial ca | libration verification | meet method specific i | requirements |
| | | | | |

Criteria- ICAL

- Five point calibration curve.
- The percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the analyte of interest. When this condition is met, linearity through the origin may be assumed, and the average calibration factor is used in lieu of a calibration curve.
- A collective calibration factor must also be established for each hydrocarbon range of interest. Calculate the collective CFs for C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, C19-C36 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, and C11-C22 Aromatic Hydrocarbons using the FID chromatogram. Tabulate the summation of the peak areas of all components in that fraction against the total concentration injected. The %RSD of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the hydrocarbon range of interest.
 - The area for the surrogates must be subtracted from the area summation of the range in which they elute.
 - The areas associated with naphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene in the aliphatic range standard must be subtracted from the uncorrected collective C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbon range area prior to calculating the CF.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Criteria- CCAL

- At a minimum, the working calibration factor must be verified on each working day, after every 20 samples or every 24 hours (whichever is more frequent), and at the end of the analytical sequence by the injection of a mid-level continuing calibration standard to verify instrument performance and linearity.
- If the percent difference (%D) for any analyte varies from the predicted response by more than ±25%, a new five-point calibration must be performed for that analyte. Greater percent differences are permissible for n-nonane. If the %D for n-nonane is greater than 30, note the nonconformance in the case narrative. It should be noted that the %Ds are calculated when CFs are used for the initial calibration and percent drifts are calculated when calibration curves using linear regression are used for the initial calibration.

Actions:

If %RSD > 25% for target compounds or a correlation coefficient < 0.99, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects.

If % D > 25% (> 30 for nonane), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

| Date of initial calibration:08/05/16 | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Dates of continuing calibration verification:09/28/16: 10/01/16 | | | | | |
| Dates of final calibration verification: 09/28/16; 10/01/16 | | | | | |
| Instrument ID numbers: GCDE | | | | | |
| Matrix/Level: AQUEOUS/MEDIUM | | | | | |

| DATE LABFILE ANALYTE | | CRITERIA OUT | SAMPLES | |
|----------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| | ID# | | RFs, %RSD, %D, r | AFFECTED |
| | | | | |
| Initial and | continuing of | calibration meets meth | od specific requiremer | nts. Closing calibration |
| | | ckage. Continuing and | | |
| | | pt for the cases descri | | |
| | (| qualified as estimated | (J) in affected samples | |
| 10/01/16 | cc843-50 | C19-C36 Aliphatics | 29.2 % | MC47884-1 to -7 |
| 10/01/16 | cc843-50 | C19-C36 Aliphatics | 29.3 % | |
| 10/01/16 | cc843-50 | C19-C36 Aliphatics | 27.1 % | |

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

| All criteria were met _ | |
|--|---|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below | X |

V A. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data. A Laboratory Method Blank must be run after samples suspected of being highly contaminated to determine if sample carryover has occurred.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Laboratory blanks

| DATE ANALYZED | LAB ID | LEVEL/ MATRIX | COMPOUND | CONCENTRATION UNITS |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| _METHOD BL _THE_CASES_ | | | | CRITERIA_EXCEPT_IN_ |
| _09/28/16 | _OP48782-MI | 3Aq./low_ | _C9-C18_Aliphatio _C19-C36_Aliphat | s32.7_ug/l ics39.4_ug/l |

Note: Analytes detected in method blank at a concentration below the reporting limits. Analytes detected in sample batch above MDL but below the reporting limits. Laboratory qualified the results as JB. Sample results below the reporting limit are qualified undetected (U) at the reporting limits; results above the reporting limit/action level are retained.

Field/Trip/Equipment

| DATE ANALYZED | LAB ID | LEVEL/ MATRIX | COMPOUND | CONCENTRATION UNITS |
|----------------------------|--------|------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| _NO_TRIP/FIE _DATA_PACK | | ENT_BLANKS | S_ANALYZED_ASS | SOCIATED_WITH_THIS |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Note: | | | | |

| All criteria were met | X |
|--|---|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below | |

V B. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. Peaks must not be detected above the Reporting Limit within the retention time window of any analyte of interest. The hydrocarbon ranges must not be detected at a concentration greater than 10% of the most stringent MCP cleanup standard. Specific actions area as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is \geq SQL but < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

| | All criteria were met _ | _X |
|-------------------|-------------------------|----|
| Criteria were not | met and/or see below | |

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery. Matrix: solid/aqueous

| SAMPLE ID | SURROG | ATE COMPOU S2 | ND S3 | S4 | ACTION |
|---|------------|------------------|---------------|-------------|------------|
| _SURROGATE_ _LIMITS | STANDARI | OS_RECOVER | ries_within_i | LABORATO | RY_CONTROL |
| | | | | | |
| S1 = o-Terpheny | 4 40 140% | | S2 = 2-Fluoro | shinhanul 4 | 0.140% |
| S3 = 1-Chlorooc | | | S4 = 2-Brom | | |
| QC Limits (%)* (_LL_to_UL4 QC Limits* (Solid | 40_to_140_ | _40_to_140_ | _40_to_140 | 40_to_1 | 140_ |
| _LL_to_UL_ | • | to | to | to | _ |

Note:

It is recommended that surrogate standard recoveries be monitored and documented on a continuing basis. At a minimum, when surrogate recovery from a sample, blank, or QC sample is less than 40% or more than 140%, check calculations to locate possible errors, check the fortifying standard solution for degradation, and check changes in instrument performance.

If the cause cannot be determined, reanalyze the sample unless one of the following exceptions applies:

- (1) Obvious interference is present on the chromatogram (e.g., unresolved complex mixture);
- (2) The surrogate exhibits high recovery and associated target analytes or hydrocarbon ranges are not detected in sample.

If a sample with a surrogate recovery outside of the acceptable range is not reanalyzed based on any of these aforementioned exceptions, this information must be noted on the data report form and discussed in the Executive Report. Analysis of the sample on dilution may diminish matrix-related surrogate recovery problems. This approach can be used as long as the reporting limits to evaluate applicable MCP standards can still be achieved with the dilution. If not, reanalysis without dilution must be performed.

| All criteria were metX_ | |
|--|--|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below | |

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples.

At the request of the data user, and in consideration of sample matrices and data quality objectives, matrix spikes and matrix duplicates may be analyzed with every batch of 20 samples or less per matrix.

- Matrix duplicate Matrix duplicates are prepared by analyzing one sample in duplicate. The purpose of the matrix duplicates is to determine the homogeneity of the sample matrix as well as analytical precision. The RPD of detected results in the matrix duplicate samples must not exceed 50 when the results are greater than 5x the reporting limit.
- The desired spiking level is 50% of the highest calibration standard. However, the total concentration in the MS (including the MS and native concentration in the unspiked sample) should not exceed 75% of the highest calibration standard in order for a proper evaluation to be performed. The purpose of the matrix spike is to determine whether the sample matrix contributes bias to the analytical results. The corrected concentrations of each analyte within the matrix spiking solution must be within 40 140% of the true value. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible but must be noted in the narrative if <30%.</p>

| MS/MSD Recov | eries and Precision Crit | eria | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------|-----------------|--------|
| Sample ID: | MC47834-1 | Matrix/Level:_Groundwater | | | |
| List the %Rs, R | PD of the compounds w | hich do no | t meet t | he QC criteria. | |
| MS OR MSD | COMPOUND | % R | RPD | QC LIMITS | ACTION |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Note: MS/MSD % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits.

| | | | Criteri | a were not m | | ia were met _ e belowN/ | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--------------------------|
| informed p conjunction data. In th affect only However, i | orofessional n with other ose instand the sample t may be de tic problen | MS/MSD results I judgment, the or QC criteria and ces where it can e spiked, the qualitermined through in the analysi | data r detern be de alificati n the M | eviewer may mine the nee etermined th on should be IS/MSD resu | use the Modern the leading of the result the result to the leading to the leading to the leading to the leading th | IS/MSD results of the MS/ this sample all aboratory is h | of the /MSD alone. aving |
| 2. MS | /MSD — Un: | spiked Compound | ds | | | | |
| | | s of the unspiked piked sample, ma | | | | | these |
| COMPOUI | | CONCENTRATION SAMPLE | ON MS | MSD | %RPD | ACT | ION |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | _ |
| Criteria: No | ne specifie | ed, use %RSD < 5 | 50 as p | professional j | udgment. | | |
| Actions: | | | | | | | |

If the % RSD > 50, qualify the results in the spiked sample as estimate (J). If the % RSD is not calculable (NC) due to nondetect value in the sample, MS, and/or MSD, use professional judgment to qualify sample data.

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

| | All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| VIII. | LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS/LCSD) ANALYSIS | | | | | |
| This matrices. | data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various | | | | | |
| 1. | LCS Recoveries Criteria | | | | | |
| | List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria | | | | | |
| LCS ID | COMPOUND % R QC LIMIT ACTION | | | | | |
| LCS_REC | COVERY_WITHIN_LABORATORY_CONTROL_LIMTS | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Criter | Refer to QAPP for specific criteria. The spike recovery must be between 40% and 140%. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible. If the recovery of n-nonane is <30%, note the nonconformance in the executive narrative. RPD between LCS/LCSD must be < 25%. | | | | | |
| Actio that a | Actions: Actions on LCS recovery should be based on both the number of compounds that are outside the %R and RPD criteria and the magnitude of the excedance of the criteria. | | | | | |
| the associate If the %R of for the affect If more than | the analyte is > UL, qualify all positive results (j) for the affected analyte in ed samples and accept nondetects. the analyte is < LL, qualify all positive results (j) and reject (R) nondetects ed analyte in the associated samples. half the compounds in the LCS are not within the required recovery criteria, sitive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R) for all target analyte(s) in the amples. | | | | | |
| 2. Frequ | uency Criteria: | | | | | |
| per matrix)?] If no, the dat the effect an | Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix (1 per 20 samples per matrix)? Yes or No. If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected. Discuss the actions below: | | | | | |

| | | All criteria were met | |
|-------|----------------------|--|----|
| | | Criteria were not met and/or see below _N/ | A_ |
| IX. | FIELD/LABORATORY DUF | PLICATE PRECISION | |
| Sampl | e IDs: | | |

Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which measures only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

| COMPOUND | SQL | SAMPLE CONC. | DUPLICATE CONC. | RPD | ACTION | | | | |
|---|-----|-----------------|--------------------|-----|--------|--|--|--|--|
| No field/aboratory duplicate analyzed with this data package MS/MSD % recovery PDD | | | | | | | | | |
| No field/laboratory duplicate analyzed with this data package. MS/MSD % recovery RPD used to assess precision. RPD within laboratory and validation guidance document criteria (± 50 % RPD) for analytes concentration ≥ 5 SQL. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Criteria:

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information. RPD \pm 30% for aqueous samples, RPD \pm 50 % for solid samples if results are \geq SQL. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

SQL = soil quantitation limit

Actions:

If both the sample and the duplicate results are nondetects (ND), the RPD is not calculable (NC). No action is needed.

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria.

If one sample result is not detected and the other is $\geq 5x$ the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

Note: If SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is < 5x the SQL, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

| All criteria were metX |
|--|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below |

XI. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The compound identification evaluation is to verify that the laboratory correctly identified target analytes as well as tentatively identified compounds (TICs).

- 1. Verify that the target analytes were within the retention time windows.
 - Retention time windows must be re-established for each Target EPH Analyte each time a new GC column is installed, and must be verified and/or adjusted on a daily basis.
 - The n-nonane (n-C9) peak must be adequately resolved from the solvent front of the chromatographic run.
 - o All surrogates must be adequately resolved from the Aliphatic Hydrocarbon and Aromatic Hydrocarbon standards.
 - For the purposes of this method, adequate resolution is assumed to be achieved if the height of the valley between two peaks is less than 25% of the average height of the two peaks.
 - The n-pentane (C5) and MtBE peaks must be adequately resolved from any solvent front that may be present on the FID and PID chromatograms, respectively.
- 1a. Aliphatic hydrocarbons range:
 - o Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for n-C9 and 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19.
 - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19 and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for n-C36.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined?

Yes? or No?

Comments:

- Aromatic hydrocarbons range:
 - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for naphthalene and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for benzo(g,h,i)perylene.
 - Determine the peak area count for the sample surrogate (OTP) and fractionation surrogate(s). Subtract these values from the collective area count value.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined?

Yes? or No?

Comments:

| | Criteria w | All criteria were met X | |
|----|---|---|---|
| 2. | If target analytes and/or TICs were not collaboratory resubmit the corrected data. | orrectly identified, request that | the |
| 3. | | mple specific basis by evaluating bromonaphthalene) and on a bathylnaphthalene in both the aliphasD. If either the concentration e aliphatic fraction exceeds 5% or 2-methylnaphthalene in the Lon all archived batch extracts. | the atch atio of of .CS |
| | summation of the | n the LCS/LCSD pair includes to concentration detected in the the concentration detected in the | the |
| | _Comments:Concentration_in_the_aliphati _concentration_for_naphthalene_and_2-meth | ic_fraction_<_5%_of_the_total nylnaphthalene | <u> </u> |
| 4. | Fractionation Check Standard – A fractic containing 14 alkanes and 17 PAHs at a not each constituent. The Fractionation Check Standard reactionation efficiency of each new lot of sill optimum hexane volume required to efficient not allowing significant aromatic hydrocarbocontained in the fractionation check solution Recovery must be between 40 and 140%. A nonane. | ominal concentration of 200 ng/µl olution must be used to evaluate ica gel/cartridges, and establish ly elute aliphatic hydrocarbons wl on breakthrough. For each anal n, excluding n-nonane, the Perc | I of the the hile lyte ent |
| | Is a fractionation check standard analyzed? | Yes? or No | ? |
| | Comments: Not applicable. | | |

| All criteria were met _ | _X |
|--|----|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below | |

XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS AND SAMPLE RESULTS

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results.

In order to demonstrate the absence of aliphatic mass discrimination, the response ratio of C28 to C20 must be at least 0.85. If <0.85, this nonconformance must be noted in the laboratory case narrative.

The chromatograms of Continuing Calibration Standards for aromatics must be reviewed to ensure that there are no obvious signs of mass discrimination.

Is aliphatic mass discrimination observed in the sample?

Yes? or No?

Is aromatic mass discrimination observed in the sample?

Yes? or No?

1. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

JC47884-1

EPH (C11 – C22, Aromatics)

RF = 114,553

[] = (1407845)/(114,553)

[] = 12.3 ug/ml Ok

JC47884-1MS

EPH (C19 – C36, Aliphatics)

RF = 72.594

[] = (964827)/(72,594)

[] = 13.3 ug/ml Ok

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

- 2. If requested, verify that the results were above the laboratory method detection limit (MDLs).
- 3. If dilutions performed, were the SQLs elevated accordingly by the laboratory? List the affected samples and dilution factor in the table below.

| SAMPLE ID | DILUTION FACTOR | REASON FOR DILUTION |
|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | 1 | |
| | | + |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | # |
| | | |
| | | |

| If dilution was not performed, affected samples/compounds: | results | (J) | for the | affected | compounds. | List the |
|--|---------|-----|---------|----------|------------|----------|
| | | _ | | | | |